

- Initiate and propose for endorsement open calls for Future Earth activities from stakeholders, such as business and industry. The activities would be officially branded as part of Future Earth⁵.

Composition and Selection⁶ of the Committee:

1. Stakeholder representation to cover research, science-policy interface, funders, business, and industry, government, civil society, development organizations and media etc.
2. Selection process should seek to identify representatives who will have a broad view of how their sector will interact with Future Earth;
3. Size to be approximately equal to that the Science Committee (i.e. eighteen)
4. This committee will be initially appointed by the Interim Governing Council, and ultimately by the Governing Council.

⁵ For example, a company wants to produce a major global risks report for business; they send the proposal to Future Earth, where it is endorsed. This company manages and delivers the report with engagement from the Future Earth network. Its brand as a 'Future Earth' report gives it legitimacy and credibility.

⁶ The Engagement Committee needs to be formed as early as possible so that it can function and interact with the Science Committee.

The Director of the Secretariat will appoint other members of the Secretariat. The Secretariat should be fully operational shortly after the end of the transition period in order to support the setup of the rest of the governance structure. It is envisaged that the existing GEC secretariats will serve as the interim Future Earth Secretariat.

References

- Alcamo, J., G. J. J. Kreileman, and R. Leemans. 1996. Global models meet global policy - how can global and regional modellers connect with environmental policy makers? what has hindered them? what has helped? *Global Environmental Change* **6**:255-259.
- Brown, V. A., J. A. Harris, and J. Y. Russell, editors. 2010. *Tackling wicked problems through the transdisciplinary imagination*. Earthscan, London.
- Chambers, R. 2002. *Participatory workshops: A sourcebook of 21 sets of ideas & activities*. Earthscan, London.
- Clark, W. C. 2007. Sustainability Science: A room of its own. *Proceedings of the National Academy of Sciences* **104**:1737-1738.
- de la Vega-Leinert, A., D. Schröter, R. Leemans, U. Fritsch, and J. Pluimers. 2008. A stakeholder dialogue on European vulnerability. *Regional Environmental Change* **8**:109-124.
- Funtowicz, S. O. and J. R. Ravetz. 1990. *Uncertainty and quality in science for policy*. Kluwer Academic Publishers, Dordrecht.
- Kates, R. W. 2011. What kind of a science is sustainability science? *Proceedings of the National Academy of Sciences* **108**:19449-19450.
- Klein, J. T. 2004a. Interdisciplinarity and complexity: An evolving relationship. *Emergence: Complexity & Organization* **6**:2-10.
- Klein, T. J. 2004b. Prospects for transdisciplinarity. *Futures* **36**:515-526.
- Lang, D., A. Wiek, M. Bergmann, M. Stauffacher, P. Martens, P. Moll, M. Swilling, and C. Thomas. 2012. Transdisciplinary research in sustainability science: practice, principles, and challenges. *Sustainability Science* **7**:25-43.
- McDonald, D., G. Bammer, and P. Deane. 2009. *Research integration using dialogue methods*. ANU E Press, Canberra.
- Pielke, R. A. 2007. *The honest broker. Making sense of science in policy and politics*. Cambridge University Press, Cambridge.
- Pohl, C. 2008. From science to policy through transdisciplinary research. *Environmental Science & Policy* **11**:46-53.
- Scholz, R. W. 2011. *Environmental literacy in science and society*. Cambridge University Press, Cambridge.
- Scholz, R. W., D. J. Lang, A. Wiek, A. I. Walter, and M. Stauffacher. 2006. Transdisciplinary case studies as a means of sustainability learning: Historical framework and theory. *International Journal of Sustainability in Higher Education* **7**:226-251.
- UNEP. 2012. *21 Issues for the 21st Century: Result of the UNEP Foresight Process on Emerging Environmental Issues*. United Nations Environment Programme (UNEP), Nairobi, Kenya.
- United Nations. 2012. *The future we want. Conference Outcome A/CONF.216/L.1*, United Nations, New York.
- van den Hove, S. 2007. A rationale for science-policy interfaces. *Futures* **39**:807-826.
- Wiek, A., F. Farioli, K. Fukushi, and M. Yarime. 2012. Sustainability science: bridging the gap between science and society. *Sustainability Science* **7**:1-4.